



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,995	05/11/2007	Greg H. Crocker	PKT-P1-06(US)	8906
28710 7590 10/13/2011 PETER K. TRZYNA, ESQ. P O BOX 7131 CHICAGO, IL 60680				
EXAMINER				
CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3691				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/13/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,995	CROCKER, GREG H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KELLY CAMPEN	3691	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KELLY CAMPEN (3) _____

(2) Peter Trzyna (4) _____

Date of Interview: 07 June 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1-45.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Discussed a timeline for applicant to submit changes as discussed in the 3/25/2011 interview when applicant agreed to cancel corresponding claims to issued claim set in parent (10/867520) which correspond to elected claim set. Examiner agreed to examine non elected claim set subject to applicant submitting amendments to the claim set to more closely focus on inventive concept; further, Examiner agreed to withdraw the restriction requirement and Applicant agreed to formally withdraw lack of unity petition requirement as petition is now moot; and, applicant agreed to cancel superfluous claims and to focus on inventive concept.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Kelly Campen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691	
---	--